

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7
8
9

10 ALEX D. CAMP, No. C 07-6478 WHA (PR)

11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 P. LEONIDA,
14 Defendant.

15 _____ / **ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
16 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND
17 AND DISMISSING CASE**

18 This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. The complaint was dismissed with
19 leave to amend in the initial review order because plaintiff had not alleged facts regarding the
20 only named defendant. Within the time to amend plaintiff filed a combined amended complaint
21 and motion for leave to amend. It consists of only one sentence – a statement that he is
22 supplying a copy of his inmate grievance form. Attached to it is the grievance form, with
23 responses.

24 The motion for leave to amend is unnecessary because permission to amend was granted
25 in the initial review order. The motion (document number 7) is **DENIED**.

26 In the initial review order petitioner was warned that his amended complaint would
27 completely replace the original complaint, and was advised to include in it all claims he wished
28 to present. The filing is insufficient to serve as an amended complaint, in that it is not in the
form of a complaint and does not contain necessary elements of a complaint, such as a list of
intended defendants or a demand for relief.

1 Plaintiff having failed to file a complaint complying with the Court's order within the
2 time allowed, this case is **DISMISSED**. *See* Fed. R.Civ.P. 41(b). All pending motions are
3 terminated. The clerk shall close the file.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: August 18, 2008.

Wm. Alsup

6

WILLIAM ALSUP
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28